## The Effect of Surface Area on the Rate of Melting in Ice Cubes

## Introduction

Solid ice has a melting temperature of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When an ice cube is placed on a countertop, it will slowly melt and turn into liquid water leaving a small puddle that will eventually evaporate. How fast the ice cube melts depends on a number of factors. Some of these factors include the temperature of the room, air pressure and if there are any air currents moving past the cube.

In current times we are concerned also with the melting of very large ice cubes at the Earth's poles. Global warming is increasing the rate of polar ice melt and other factors such as the salinity of sea water may also have an effect (Melting Ice).

The research question for this investigation is:
How does the surface area of an ice cube affect the rate that it melts?

## Variables

| Variables |  | Manipulated, Measured or Controlled by: |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Independent | surface area | Five different sizes of ice cubes will be used. Surface <br> area will be calculated from the dimensions of the <br> cubes. |
| Dependent | rate of melting | The mass of melted water will be measured after 15 <br> minutes $(900$ s) for each cube. The rate will be <br> calculated by dividing this mass by the time interval. |
|  | air currents | The AC will be switched off and all windows closed. <br> possible and monitored regeptas constant as |
|  | shape of ice cube | Cubes will be made as square as possible |
|  | time | The melting time is the same for all cubes |

## Hypothesis

If the surface area of the cube increases, then the rate of melting will increase as well. This is because a larger surface area means more ice is in contact with the surrounding air and can absorb more heat. This means more heat is transferred to each cube in the time interval and more melting will occur.
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## Materials

FIVE different size square ice cube trays
THREE square pieces of wire mesh
THREE small beakers
ONE stopwatch
ONE ruler
ONE electronic balance

## Procedure

1. Add the same amount of water to each section of the first ice cube tray. Place the tray in the freezer for 24 hours.
2. Label three clean and dry beakers \#1, \#2 and \#3. Measure the mass of each beaker and record.
do this step in the freezer to minimize melting
3. When the cubes are frozen, take them out of the tray. Choose
 3 cubes that are close to the same size. Measure the length, width and height of one of the cubes and record.
4. Place an ice cube on the wire mesh over the top of each beaker.
5. Start the stopwatch.
6. After 15 minutes ( 900 s ), remove the ice cubes. Tap the mesh a few times to allow any trapped water to drip into the beaker.
7. Measure the mass of each beaker and the melt water.
8. Repeat these steps for the other 4 ice cube trays.
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## Data Collection and Processing

## Qualitative Observations

- ice cubes began to melt quite quickly and drip water into the beaker
- some liquid water was trapped in the wire mesh even after tapping
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Beaker A: $45.26 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~g}$
Beaker B: $47.13 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~g}$
Beaker C: $44.67 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~g}$
Table 1: Dimensions and calculated surface areas of different size ice cubes used

| Cube | Length <br> $\mathbf{\pm 1 ~ m m}$ | Width <br> $\mathbf{\pm 1 ~ m m}$ | Height <br> $\mathbf{\pm 1 ~} \mathbf{~ m m}$ | Surface Area <br> $\mathbf{m m}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cube 1 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 132 |
| cube 2 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 176 |
| cube 3 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 248 |
| cube 4 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 300 |
| cube 5 | 30 | 25 | 32 | 348 |

## Sample Calculation: Surface Area of Ice Cube (for cube 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SURFACE AREA }=[2(l \times w)+2(l \times h)+2(w \times h)] \\
& \text { SURFACE AREA }=2(11 \times 10)+2(11 \times 12)+2(10 \times 12) \\
& \text { SURFACE AREA }=132 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 2: Raw data showing the combined mass of beakers and melt water for different surface area ice cubes after 900 s of melting at room temperature

| Surface <br> Area <br> $\mathbf{( m m}^{2}$ ) | $\|c\|$ <br> Mass of beaker and melt water (g) <br> Trial 1 | Beaker B <br> Trial 2 | Beaker C <br> Trial 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 45.73 | 47.68 | 45.2 |
| 176 | 46.01 | 47.81 | 45.36 |
| 248 | 46.21 | 48.04 | 45.69 |
| 300 | 46.52 | 48.34 | 45.75 |
| 348 | 46.57 | 48.59 | 46.08 |
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Table 3: Processed data showing average mass of melt water for different surface area ice cubes after 900 s of melting at room temperature.

| Surface <br> Area <br> $\mathbf{( m m}^{\mathbf{)}}$ ) | Mass of melt water (g) <br> Trial 1 | Beaker B <br> Trial 2 | Beaker C <br> Trial 3 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.52 |
| 176 | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 |
| 248 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 1.02 | 0.96 |
| 300 | 1.26 | 1.21 | 1.08 | 1.18 |
| 348 | 1.31 | 1.46 | 1.41 | 1.39 |

Sample Calculation: Mass of melt water (132 mm² cube, Trial 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MASS }=(\text { Mass of beaker }+ \text { water })-\text { mass of empty beaker } \\
& \text { MASS }=45.73-45.26=0.47 \mathrm{~g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Graph 1: The relationship between the surface area of an ice cube and the amount of melt water produced in 900 s at room temperature.
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## Graph Calculations: Slope and y-intercept

slope $=\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}=\frac{1.39-0.52}{348-132}=0.004 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$

## Conclusion

It can be observed from Graph 1 that as the surface area of an ice cube increases, the mass of melt water produced in $\mathbf{1 5}$ minutes also increases. The graph is a straight line which shows that that an increase in surface area will produce a corresponding increase in the amount of melt water. Since the time was constant for each trial, it can also be stated that the surface area directly affects the rate of melting.

The hypothesis was supported but this conclusion is limited only to the range of surface areas tested and cannot be extended to larger or smaller ice cubes. The increase in melting due to more surface area can be explained by more of the ice cube surface being in contact with the surrounding air and therefore absorbing heat energy faster. Even though larger cubes seem to melt faster, they may still take more time to melt because there is more ice and as they melt, their surface area decreases.

## Evaluation

There are some important weaknesses with this investigation. It was difficult to get all the water out of the wire mesh so that the total mass of melt water could be measured accurately. This would mean that the mass measured was less than the actual mass. As the ice cubes were still wet when removed from the mesh, some melt water was lost that way as well. Evidence from the data that indicates this problem is that there was some variation in the mass of melt water measured between the three trials. One solution to this would be to measure the mass of the ice cube at the start of the timing period, and then quickly dry it and measure the mass again at the end of the timing period. The mass of melt water could then be determined by subtraction.

A second weakness was that the ice cubes had different surface areas but were not uniform volumes. The variables would be controlled better if all the ice cubes used were of consistent shape (for example cubes) and of consistent size. This problem may also be responsible for the variation in the amount of melt water between trials. To improve this care must be taken to select only ice cubes of consistent dimensions. More trials could also be performed to collect more data before calculating the mean melt water mass.

Finally, there was no evidence that the room temperature was constant for the entire experiment due to not taking any actual measurements. Temperature should be recorded every few minutes to ensure there are no major fluctuations.
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